

On asymptotics of exchangeable coalescents with multiple collisions

Alexander Gnedin*, Alex Iksanov[†] and Martin Möhle[‡]

July 17, 2008

Abstract

We study the number of collisions X_n of an exchangeable coalescent with multiple collisions (Λ -coalescent) which starts with n particles and is driven by rates determined by a finite characteristic measure $\nu(dx) = x^{-2}\Lambda(dx)$. Via a coupling technique we derive limiting laws of X_n , using previous results on regenerative compositions derived from stick-breaking partitions of the unit interval. The possible limiting laws of X_n include normal, stable with index $1 \leq \alpha < 2$ and Mittag-Leffler distributions. The results apply, in particular, to the case when ν is a beta($a-2, b$) distribution with parameters $a > 2$ and $b > 0$. The approach taken allows to derive asymptotics of three other functionals of the coalescent, the absorption time, the length of an external branch chosen at random from the n external branches, and the number of collision events that occur before the randomly selected external branch coalesces with one of its neighbours.

Keywords: absorption time, coupling, exchangeable coalescent, external branch length, multiple collisions, number of collisions, regenerative composition

1 Introduction

Let $\Pi_n = (\Pi_n(t), t \geq 0)$ be an exchangeable coalescent with multiple collisions, as introduced by Pitman [22] and Sagitov [25]. This is a càdlàg Markov process that starts at $t = 0$ with n particles and evolves according to the following dynamics. When m particles are present, each k -tuple of them collides and merges to form a single particle at rate

$$\lambda_{m,k} = \int_{[0,1]} x^k (1-x)^{m-k} \nu(dx), \quad 2 \leq k \leq m \quad (1)$$

*Department of Mathematics, Utrecht University, Postbus 80010, 3508 TA Utrecht, The Netherlands, e-mail: A.V.Gnedin@uu.nl

[†]Faculty of Cybernetics, National T. Shevchenko University of Kiev, 01033 Kiev, Ukraine, e-mail: iksan@unicyb.kiev.ua

[‡]Mathematical Institute, University of Düsseldorf, Universitätsstraße 1, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany, e-mail: moehle@math.uni-duesseldorf.de

The definition of the rates requires that the *characteristic measure* ν had a finite second moment. These processes are sometimes called ‘lambda-coalescents’, following Pitman’s paper [22] where the rates were introduced by means of the finite measure $\Lambda(dx) = x^2\nu(dx)$.

The coalescent starting with n particles is usually understood as a process with values in the set of partitions of $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$. To that end, one needs to label the initial particles by integers and, tracking their evolution, to record which of the initial particles comprise a merger. In this context, the exchangeability means that the restriction of the process to $[m]$ (or any other subset of $[n]$ with $m < n$ elements) is a stochastic copy of the process starting with m particles, see [3, 23] for survey and details. For most of our purposes, however, it is sufficient to identify the coalescent with a pure death process of counting the particles, with state-space $[n]$ and transition rates

$$g_{n,m} = \binom{n}{m-1} \lambda_{n,n-m+1}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq n-1$$

so that the total transition rate from state n is

$$g_n = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} g_{n,m} = \int_{[0,1]} (1 - (1-x)^n - nx(1-x)^{n-1}) \nu(dx).$$

In terms of the richer partition-valued process, the event $\Pi_n(t) = m$ occurs when at time t the partition of $[n]$ has m blocks, and a merge of some $k \geq 2$ blocks into a single block corresponds to a transition from m to $m - k + 1$.

The coalescent starts in the state $\Pi_n(0) = n$ and terminates in the state 1, as all particles eventually merge in one. The *number of collisions* X_n is the number of transitions which the process needs to proceed from n to the terminal state 1. The *absorption time* $\tau_n = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \Pi_n(t) = 1\}$ is the time when the process enters the terminal state. Note that in biological applications of the coalescent theory the absorption time τ_n has the meaning of time back to the most recent common ancestor. The main focus of this paper is on the weak asymptotic behaviour of X_n and τ_n as n tends to infinity. In particular, the main result (Theorem 3.1) is a criterion describing all possible limit laws of, properly normalized and centered, X_n : these can be normal, stable with index $1 \leq \alpha < 2$ and Mittag-Leffler distributions. Another result (Theorem 4.2) provides sufficient conditions under which τ_n possesses the same collection of limit laws. The limiting results for both, X_n and τ_n , will be obtained by comparison with a simpler process which was partly investigated in connection with regenerative composition structures [9, 12].

Intuitively, a high concentration of the measure ν near 0 increases the intensity of small jumps of Π_n , hence increases the number of collisions X_n . This is well exemplified by different kinds of the asymptotic behaviour of X_n for measures ν with densities $\nu(dx) = cx^{a-3}(1-x)^{b-1}dx$, with $a, b, c > 0$. For $0 < a < 1$ and $b > 0$ the order of growth of X_n is linear [5, 16, 19], for $a = 1$ and $b = 1$ the order is $n/\log n$ [6, 7, 19, 21], for $1 < a < 2$ and $b = 1$ the order is n^{2-a} [19], while for $a = 2$ and $b > 0$ the order is $\log^2 n$ [18]. The class of beta distributions covered by the present paper corresponds to the range $a > 2$, when X_n exhibits a logarithmic growth. See [2] for other aspects of the beta-coalescents.

We shall assume throughout that the characteristic measure ν is a probability measure on the open interval $(0, 1)$ such that

- (i) the support of ν is not contained in a sequence of the form $(1 - \delta\gamma^n, n = 0, 1, \dots)$ for some $\delta > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$,
- (ii) ν satisfies

$$\int_{(0,1)} |\log x| \nu(dx) < \infty. \quad (2)$$

Note that the more general case of a finite measure ν can be reduced to the case of a probability measure by a linear time change of the coalescent.

2 Annihilator and a coupling

Adopting Pitman [22, p. 1884], the coalescent process Π_n can be constructed as follows. Let $0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots$ be the arrival times of a unit Poisson process and let $1 - \eta_1, 1 - \eta_2, \dots$ be an iid sample from ν , also independent of the Poisson process. At time s_1 each of the n particles is marked ‘head’ with probability $1 - \eta_1$ or ‘tail’ with probability η_1 . Those particles marked ‘head’ are merged in one particle, provided there are at least two ‘heads’. Thus $k \geq 2$ ‘heads’ imply that $n - k + 1$ particles remain after merging, i.e. $\Pi_n(s_1) = n - k + 1$, and $k = 1$ or $= 0$ ‘heads’ imply that $\Pi_n(s_1) = n$. The procedure is then iterated at time s_2 with the remaining particles and the new probability for ‘heads’ being η_2 , and so on. It is immediately checked that this description agrees with the rates (1). The rules define the Π_n ’s consistently for all values of n , a property used by Pitman [22] to introduce a partition-valued coalescent process with infinitely many particles.

There is a simpler process on $\{0\} \cup [n]$, whose transition rate from m to $m - k$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$ is $\binom{n}{m} \lambda_{n,k}$ (where $\lambda_{n,1}$ is defined by the same integral (1) with $k = 1$). Let $K_n = (K_n(t), t \geq 0)$ denote the process starting at n and terminating at 0. We shall call this process *annihilator* in view of the following interpretation: when there are m particles, any k -tuple of them collides and annihilates at rate $\lambda_{m,k}$, so that after the collision $m - k$ particles remain (we stress that the case $k = 1$ of ‘singular’ collision is not excluded). A sequential construction of the annihilator is almost the same as that of the coalescent. At the generic time s_j of a unit Poisson process a random value $1 - \eta_j$ is sampled from ν , and each of the remaining particles (if any) is marked ‘head’ with probability $1 - \eta_j$ or ‘tail’ with probability η_j , then the particles marked ‘heads’ are removed.

The sequence of positive decrements of K_n is a random *composition* (ordered partition) of the integer n . For instance, the path $10, 7, 2, 1, 0, \dots$ of K_{10} corresponds to the composition $(3, 5, 1, 1)$ of the integer 10. As n varies, the random compositions are consistent with respect to a sampling operation, i.e. they comprise a *composition structure*, see [15, 10]. Two features differ the transition rules of Π_n and K_n :

- (i) if at time s_j exactly $k \geq 2$ of the remaining particles are marked ‘heads’ then Π_n decrements by $k - 1$, while K_n decrements by k ,
- (ii) if $k = 1$ then Π_n has no transition at s_j , while K_n decrements by 1.

Note that neither Π_n nor K_n jump at time s_j if none of the remaining particles is marked ‘head’.

To couple the coalescent and the annihilator we just define them using the same unit Poisson process with arrival times $s_1, s_2, \dots$ and the same sample $1 - \eta_1, 1 - \eta_2, \dots$ from ν . We shall call the initial n particles *primary* and their followers resulting from mergers *secondary*. The primary particles are labelled $1, 2, \dots, n$. If at some time exactly $k \geq 1$ particles are marked ‘head’, these k particles are replaced by one secondary particle. The variable $K_n(t)$ is identified with the number of primary particles alive at time t , and $\Pi_n(t)$ is identified with the total number of primary and secondary particles at time t (thus by this coupling we always have $\Pi_n(t) \geq K_n(t)$). For instance, if at time s_1 the particles 2, 3 and 6 are marked ‘head’, the number of primary particles decreases by 3, and one secondary particle is born.

Let K_n be the number of transitions of K_n as the process proceeds from n to 0, and let $K_{n,1}$ be the number of jumps of size 1 (singular collisions). Introduce the absorption time of the annihilator $\sigma_n = \inf\{t \geq 0 : K_n(t) = 0\}$. We define further $K_{n,0}$ to be the number of epochs $s_j < \sigma_n$ when none of the primary particles is marked ‘head’. We define U_n to be the number of particles that remain at time σ_n ; all these particles are secondary, so $U_n = \Pi_n(\sigma_n)$. For two real-valued random variables X and Y , we say that X is stochastically smaller than Y and write $X \leq_d Y$, if $P(X \leq x) \geq P(Y \leq x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.1. *The following stochastic order relations are true*

$$K_n - K_{n,1} \leq_d X_n \leq_d K_n + K_{n,0} + X_{U_n}, \quad (3)$$

where in the right hand side $(K_n, K_{n,0}, U_n)$ is assumed to be independent of $\{X_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Proof. We use the above coupling. By collision of at least two primary particles both processes Π_n and K_n decay, hence $K_n - K_{n,1} \leq X_n$. The number of jumps of Π_n before and including time σ_n does not exceed the number of Poisson epochs, which is $K_n + K_{n,0}$, and after time σ_n the coalescent evolves with U_n particles, independently of the history (given U_n). This yields the upper bound for X_n . $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *The sequence of distributions of X_{U_n} ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is tight.*

Proof. First of all, $1 \leq X_{U_n} < U_n$ because the number of collisions after σ_n does not exceed the number of particles that remain. To bound U_n , we focus on the behaviour of the secondary particles. At any time $s_j \leq \sigma_n$, each of the secondary particles is removed with probability $1 - \eta_j$ and at most one secondary particle is born (possibly by collision of only primary particles). We can only increase the number of secondary particles if we assume that a secondary particle is always born at every time $s_j \leq \sigma_n$.

For $j \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ denote by Q_j the number of secondary particles at time s_j ($s_0 := 0$) and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the σ -field generated by all the variables η_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $Q_0 = 0$. With the above convention, given $\mathcal{F}$, the sequence $\{Q_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ becomes a time-inhomogeneous Markov chain on the set of non-negative integers with transition probabilities

$$\mathbb{P}\{Q_j = k \mid Q_{j-1} = m\} = \binom{m}{k-1} \eta_j^{k-1} (1 - \eta_j)^{m-k+1}, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, m+1\}.$$

Set

$$N_n := \inf\{k \geq 1 : n\eta_1 \cdots \eta_k \leq 1\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Almost surely on the event $\{N_n \geq 2\}$,

$$\mathbb{E}(Q_{N_n} | \mathcal{F}) = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{N_n} \eta_j \eta_{j+1} \cdots \eta_{N_n} =: 1 + \Theta_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Even though the subsequent argument is the same as in the proof of [20, Theorem 1.1], we include it for completeness. For $k = 2, 3, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_n 1_{\{N_n=k\}} &= \eta_1 \cdots \eta_{N_n} \left(\frac{1}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{\eta_1 \eta_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\eta_1 \cdots \eta_{N_n-1}} \right) 1_{\{N_n=k\}} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{\eta_1} + \frac{1}{\eta_1 \eta_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\eta_1 \cdots \eta_{k-1}} \right) 1_{\{N_n=k\}} \quad \text{a.s.}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\Theta_n 1_{\{N_n \geq 2\}} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{N_n-1} \frac{1}{\eta_1 \cdots \eta_k} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

By the key renewal theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N_n-1} \frac{1}{\eta_1 \cdots \eta_k} \right) = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\log n} e^{-(\log n - x)} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{-\log \eta_1 - \dots - \log \eta_k \in dx\} \right) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}(-\log \eta)}, \end{aligned}$$

no matter whether $\mathfrak{m}_1 := \mathbb{E}(-\log \eta)$ is finite or infinite. Since $\mathbb{E}Q_{N_n} 1_{\{N_n=1\}} = o(1)$, we have proved that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}Q_{N_n} \leq 1/\mathfrak{m}_1 + 1,$$

which implies that the sequence of distributions of Q_{N_n} ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is tight. Note that N_n is the number of steps that the multiplicative renewal process $(\eta_1 \cdots \eta_j, j = 0, 1, \dots)$, needs to drop below level $1/n$. Now we wish to replace the fixed level $1/n$ by a random level associated with the last primary particle disappearing at time σ_n ; this random level can be identified with the minimal order statistic $R_{1,n}$ of the sample of size n from the uniform $[0, 1]$ distribution (see the remark after Theorem 3.1 for this connection). From the subadditivity of the first-passage time processes for random walks, and from the convergence in distribution of $-\log R_{1,n} - \log n$ (which is a standard fact from the extreme-value theory), it follows that the number of steps ρ_n , say, the renewal process needs to cross the interval $(\min(R_{1,n}, 1/n), \max(R_{1,n}, 1/n))$ remains stochastically bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the event $A_n := \{R_{1,n} \leq 1/n\}$, as the process proceeds from $1/n$ to $R_{1,n}$, the number of secondary particles may increase at most by ρ_n . On the complementary event, $U_n - Q_{N_n} \leq \chi_n U_n$ a.s., where $\chi_n \in (0, 1)$ is a stochastic sequence (depending on ρ_n) which is bounded away from one. The latter inequality follows from the observation that in the coalescent process under consideration the number of particles involved in the generic collision is proportional to the number of existing particles. In conclusion, $|Q_{N_n} - U_n|$ is stochastically bounded on both events, which completes the proof. $\square$

3 Number of collisions

With these preliminaries, we are ready to derive the asymptotic behaviour of the number of collisions X_n from the previously known results on K_n [12]. Introduce the logarithmic moments

$$\mathfrak{m}_1 := \mathbb{E}(-\log \eta), \quad \mathfrak{m}_2 := \text{Var}(\log \eta)$$

for a random variable $1 - \eta$ with distribution ν and recall the standing assumptions of the paper given at the end of Section 1. It is worth mentioning explicitly that in parts (1)-(3) of the next result $\mathfrak{m}_1 < \infty$.

Theorem 3.1. *The following two assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exist constants $\{a_n, b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with $a_n > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $(X_n - b_n)/a_n$ converges weakly to some non-degenerate and proper distribution.*
- (ii) *The distribution of $(-\log \eta)$ either belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable law, or the function $x \mapsto \mathbb{P}(-\log \eta > x)$ slowly varies at ∞ .*

Furthermore, there are five different regimes of convergence.

- (1) *If $\mathfrak{m}_2 < \infty$, then, with $b_n := \mathfrak{m}_1^{-1} \log n$ and $a_n := (\mathfrak{m}_1^{-3} \mathfrak{m}_2 \log n)^{1/2}$, the limiting law of $(X_n - b_n)/a_n$ is standard normal.*
- (2) *If $\mathfrak{m}_2 = \infty$ and*

$$\int_{(x,1)} (\log y)^2 \nu(dy) \sim L(-\log x) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0,$$

for some L slowly varying at ∞ , then, with $b_n := \mathfrak{m}_1^{-1} \log n$ and $a_n := \mathfrak{m}_1^{-3/2} c_{[\log n]}^{-1/2}$, where c_n is any sequence satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nL(c_n)/c_n^2 = 1$, the limiting law of $(X_n - b_n)/a_n$ is standard normal.

- (3) *Assume that for some function L slowly varying at ∞ , the relation*

$$\mathbb{P}(\eta \leq x) \sim (-\log x)^{-\alpha} L(-\log x) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0, \tag{4}$$

holds with $\alpha \in [1, 2)$, and that $\mathfrak{m}_1 < \infty$ if $\alpha = 1$. Then, with $b_n := \mathfrak{m}_1^{-1} \log n$ and $a_n := \mathfrak{m}_1^{-(\alpha+1)/\alpha} c_{[\log n]}^{-1/\alpha}$, where c_n is any sequence satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nL(c_n)/c_n^\alpha = 1$, the limiting law of $(X_n - b_n)/a_n$ is α -stable with characteristic function

$$t \mapsto \exp\{-|t|^\alpha \Gamma(1 - \alpha)(\cos(\pi\alpha/2) + i \sin(\pi\alpha/2) \text{sgn}(t))\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- (4) *Assume that $\mathfrak{m}_1 = \infty$ and that (4) holds with $\alpha = 1$. Let c be any positive function satisfying $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xL(c(x))/c(x) = 1$ and set $\psi(x) := x \int_{\exp(-c(x))}^1 \mathbb{P}(\eta \leq y)/y dy$. Let $b(x)$ be any positive function satisfying $b(\psi(x)) \sim \psi(b(x)) \sim x$. Then, with $b_n := b(\log n)$ and $a_n := b(\log n)c(b(\log n))/\log n$, the limiting law of $(X_n - b_n)/a_n$ is 1-stable with characteristic function*

$$t \mapsto \exp\{-|t|(\pi/2 - i \log |t| \text{sgn}(t))\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- (5) If (4) holds with $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, then, with $a_n := \log^\alpha n / L(\log n)$, the limiting law of X_n/a_n is scaled Mittag-Leffler θ_α (exponential, if $\alpha = 0$) with moments

$$\int_{[0, \infty)} x^n \theta_\alpha(dx) = \frac{n!}{\Gamma^n(1 - \alpha) \Gamma(1 + n\alpha)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Following the same line of argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [12], one can show that a necessary condition for the convergence of $(X_n - b_n)/a_n$ is that the scaling satisfies $a_n \rightarrow \infty$, which will be assumed in the sequel. To derive the result from Theorem 2.3 in [12] it is enough to show that $(X_n - b_n)/a_n$ weakly converges to a proper and non-degenerate distribution if and only if $(K_n - b_n)/a_n$ weakly converges to the same distribution. This equivalence is implied by (3). Indeed, by Lemma 2.2, X_{U_n}/a_n converges in probability to 0. Under the assumption (2), $K_{n,0}$ converges in distribution by [12, Theorem 2.2], and $K_{n,1}$ converges in distribution by [12, Proposition 5.2] (see also [11] for the case $m_1 < \infty$). $\square$

Remark. We describe briefly a more general construction with possibly infinite characteristic measure ν satisfying $\int_{(0,1)} x \nu(dx) < \infty$. Let $\tilde{\nu}$ be the image of ν under the transformation $x \mapsto -\log x$, let $(\tilde{S}_t)$ be a subordinator with Lévy measure $\tilde{\nu}$, and $S_t = \exp(-\tilde{S}_t)$. Then (S_t) is a non-increasing positive pure-jump process with $S_0 = 1$. Primary particles are labelled by independent uniform $[0, 1]$ points $R_1, \dots, R_n$, also independent of (S_t) . The annihilator process $K_n = (K_n(t), t \geq 0)$ is defined by setting $K_n(0) = n$ and by decrementing the process at time t by k if the interval $[S_t, S_{t-}]$ contains exactly k of the uniform points. Thus K_n may have a jump at t only if $S_{t-} > S_t$. The coalescent Π_n is defined in a similar way, but except $R_1, \dots, R_n$ representing primary particles, new points representing secondary particles appear. Set $\Pi_n(0) = n$. Conditionally given that $[S_t, S_{t-}]$ contains $k \geq 1$ points, primary and secondary, let Π_n decrement by $k - 1$ and let one point with uniform distribution on $[0, S_t]$ appear to represent a secondary particle. The inequality $X_n \geq K_n - K_{n,1}$ is still true, but another bound in (3) makes no sense for infinite ν , since a variable analogous to $K_{n,0}$ is identically ∞ . It seems plausible that in this more general setting X_n and $K_n - K_{n,1}$ still have the same limit laws and require the same scaling. Asymptotics of $K_n, K_{n,1}$ were studied for infinite measures ν satisfying a condition of regular variation [13, 14].

Example 3.2. Application of case (1) of Theorem 3.1 to coalescents governed by beta measures $\nu(dx) = cx^{a-3}(1-x)^{b-1}dx$ with parameters $a > 2$ and $b, c > 0$ shows that the scaled and centered number of collisions

$$(X_n - m_1^{-1} \log n) / (m_2 m_1^{-3} \log n)^{1/2}$$

converges in distribution to the standard normal law, where $m_1 = \Psi(a - 2 + b) - \Psi(b)$ and $m_2 = \Psi'(b) - \Psi'(a - 2 + b)$, and Ψ is the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function.

For the special case $\nu(dx) = dx$ (uniform distribution) we have $g_{n,m}/g_n = 1/(n-1)$. Thus, Π_n jumps from n to every $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ with the same probability. In this case Theorem 3.1 implies that $(X_n - \log n)/\sqrt{\log n}$ is asymptotically normal, which agrees with a classical result known in the context of records or cyclic partition of permutations. We refer to the survey of [1] for some further information along with references.

More generally, when ν is a $\text{beta}(1, b)$ distribution, a straightforward calculation shows that Π_n jumps from $n \geq 2$ to any state $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ with probability $w_m/(w_1 + \dots + w_{n-1})$, where $w_k := \Gamma(k+b-1)/\Gamma(k)$. By [24, Proposition 2], X_n is representable as the sum of $n-1$ independent indicators I_k with

$$\mathbb{P}(I_k = 1) = \frac{w_k}{w_1 + \dots + w_k}, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

It can be shown that $\mathbb{P}(I_k = 1) \sim b/k$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. From this, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the expectation and the variance of X_n are both asymptotically equal to $b \log n$. By the central limit theorem, the distribution of $(X_n - b \log n)/\sqrt{b \log n}$ converges to the standard normal law, which again agrees with Theorem 3.1, case (1). The latter result is classics which dates back to [17].

The merging evolution of n particles in the coalescent is often represented by a rooted tree with n leaves, and with the internal nodes corresponding to collisions [3, 23]. One interpretation of the similarity of the asymptotic behaviour of X_n and K_n is that almost all internal nodes of the tree are directly connected to one of the leaves.

Some other properties of the coalescent follow easily in the same way. Let Z_n be the time of the first collision of a tagged particle (say, labelled 1). In the tree representation, Z_n is the length of an external branch, which connects a leaf to its closest internal node. The asymptotic behaviour of Z_n for the Kingman coalescent and the Bolthausen-Sznitman coalescent ($\nu(dx) = x^{-2}dx$) was studied in [4] and [8], respectively. In our setting we have the following.

Proposition 3.3. *As $n \rightarrow \infty$, Z_n converges weakly to an exponential variable with parameter $p := \mathbb{E}(1-\eta) = \int_{(0,1)} x\nu(dx)$. Moreover, the number of collisions in the coalescent before and including time Z_n converges weakly to a geometric variable with parameter p .*

Proof. In the process with infinitely many particles a tagged particle is marked ‘head’ at rate p , and when this occurs the particle collides with infinitely many other particles, because the assumption that ν is a probability measure implies that the coalescent does not come down from infinity [22] (which is also obvious from the construction). This proves the first claim. The second claim follows similarly, by noting that the time of the first collision of a given primary particle is s_1 with probability p . $\square$

4 Absorption times

The coupling allows us to derive the asymptotics of τ_n .

Lemma 4.1. *The following stochastic order relations hold*

$$\sigma_n \leq_d \tau_n \leq_d \sigma_n + \tau'_{U_n},$$

where, on the right-hand side, $\tau'_j \stackrel{d}{=} \tau_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sigma_n, (\tau'_j)$ and U_n are assumed to be independent.

Proof. These relations are obvious from the coupling. When all the primary particles disappear at time σ_n , there are U_n secondary particles left. $\square$

From the results obtained in [12] it follows that σ_n has the same limiting distribution as the first passage time through the level $\log n$ for a compound Poisson process $\{R_t : t \geq 0\}$ with the generic jump $(-\log \eta)$ and intensity one. By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 4.1, the same asymptotics is valid for τ_n . This argument leads to the following result.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that condition (ii) of Theorem 3.1 holds. Then, with X_n replaced by τ_n , condition (i) and parts (2) - (5) of that theorem hold without changes, while part (1) holds with $a_n = (\mathfrak{m}_1^{-3}(\mathfrak{m}_2 + \mathfrak{m}_1^2) \log n)^{1/2}$.*

Proof. We focus on the asymptotics of the first passage time process $\{U_x : x \geq 0\}$ defined as

$$U_x := \inf\{t \geq 0 : R_t \geq x\}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

Let N_x be the number of renewals on $[0, x]$ of the renewal process with $N_0 = 0$ and steps distributed as $(-\log \eta)$. Obviously, $U_x = s_{N_x}$, where as above $s_1, s_2, \dots$ are the arrival times of an independent unit Poisson process. Assume that condition (ii) of Theorem 3.1 holds, and let $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ be the same as in [12, Proposition 8.1] (setting $a_n := a(\log n)$ and $b_n := b(\log n)$ gives the normalizing constants for the cases (2) - (5) of Theorem 3.1). We will exploit the following decomposition

$$\frac{s_{N_x} - b(x)}{a(x)} = \frac{s_{N_x} - N_x}{\sqrt{N_x}} \frac{\sqrt{N_x}}{a(x)} + \frac{N_x - b(x)}{a(x)}. \quad (5)$$

In view of the independence and since $N_x \rightarrow \infty$ almost surely as $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have by the central limit theorem that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$, $(s_{N_x} - N_x)/\sqrt{N_x}$ converges in distribution to a random variable ξ_1 with the standard normal distribution. By [12, Proposition 8.1], the right-most term in (5) converges in distribution to a random variable ξ_2 with a proper and non-degenerate law. Note that ξ_1 and ξ_2 are independent.

Case (1). By [12, Proposition 8.1], $b(x) = \mathfrak{m}_1^{-1}x$, $a(x) = (\mathfrak{m}_2\mathfrak{m}_1^{-3}x)^{1/2}$, and ξ_2 has the standard normal law. Since, by the strong law of large numbers, as $x \rightarrow \infty$, $N_x/x \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_1^{-1}$ almost surely, we conclude that the left-hand side in (5) converges in distribution to a random variable $\mathfrak{m}_1\mathfrak{m}_2^{-1/2}\xi_1 + \xi_2$ which has the normal law with zero mean and variance $\mathfrak{m}_1^2\mathfrak{m}_2^{-1} + 1$. Therefore, $(U_x - \mathfrak{m}_1^{-1}x)/(\mathfrak{m}_1^{-3}(\mathfrak{m}_2 + \mathfrak{m}_1^2)x)^{1/2}$ weakly converges to the standard normal law, as desired.

Cases (2) - (5). By [12, Proposition 8.1], as $x \rightarrow \infty$, $\sqrt{N_x}/a(x)$ converges to 0 in probability. Therefore, the left-hand side in (5) weakly converges to the same law as the right-most term in (5). We again refer to [12, Proposition 8.1] for the identification of the normalizing constants and the relevant limiting laws. $\square$

Example 4.3. Theorem 3.2 is obviously applicable to the class of beta-coalescents with parameter $a > 2$ and $b, c > 0$ considered in Example 3.2. Consequently, for such beta-coalescents, the scaled and centered absorption time $(\tau_n - \mathfrak{m}_1^{-1} \log n)/(\mathfrak{m}_1^{-3}(\mathfrak{m}_2 + \mathfrak{m}_1^2) \log n)^{1/2}$ converges in distribution to the standard normal law, with $\mathfrak{m}_1$ and $\mathfrak{m}_2$ as given in Example 3.2.

Acknowledgement A. Iksanov gratefully acknowledges the support by the German Scientific Foundation (project no. 436UKR 113/93/0-1).

References

- [1] BAI, Z.D., HWANG, H.K., AND LIANG, W.Q. (1998). Normal approximations of the number of records in geometrically distributed random variables. *Random Struct. Algorithms* **13**, 319–334.
- [2] BERESTYCKI, J., BERESTYCKI, N. AND SCHWEINSBERG, J. (2008) Small time properties of beta coalescents, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **44**, 214–238.
- [3] BERTOIN, J. (2006). *Random fragmentation and coagulation processes*, Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [4] CALIEBE, A., NEININGER, R., KRAWCZAK, M., AND RÖSLER, U. (2007). On the length distribution of external branches in coalescence trees: genetic diversity within species. *Theor. Popul. Biol.* **72**, 245–252.
- [5] DELMAS, J.-F., DHERSIN, J.-S. AND SIRI-JEGOUSSE, A. (2008). Asymptotic results on the length of coalescent trees. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **18**, 997–1025.
- [6] DRMOTA, M., IKSANOV, A., MÖHLE, M., AND RÖSLER, U. (2007). Asymptotic results concerning the total branch length of the Bolthausen-Sznitman coalescent. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **117**, 1404–1421.
- [7] DRMOTA, M., IKSANOV, A., MÖHLE, M., AND RÖSLER, U. (2008). A limiting distribution for the number of cuts needed to isolate the root of a random recursive tree. *Random Struct. Algorithms*, to appear.
- [8] FREUND, F. AND MÖHLE, M. (2007). On the time back to the most recent common ancestor and the external branch length of the Bolthausen-Sznitman coalescent. *Markov Process. Relat. Fields*, submitted.
- [9] GNEDIN, A.V. (2004). The Bernoulli sieve. *Bernoulli* **10**, 79–96.
- [10] GNEDIN, A., DONG, R., AND PITMAN, J. (2007). Exchangable partitions derived from Markovian coalescents. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **17**, 1172–1201.
- [11] GNEDIN, A., IKSANOV, A., AND ROESLER, U. (2008). Small parts in the Bernoulli sieve, available from arXiv:math.
- [12] GNEDIN, A., IKSANOV, A., NEGADAJLOV, P., AND ROESLER, U. (2008). The Bernoulli sieve revisited, available from arXiv:math.
- [13] GNEDIN, A., PITMAN, J., AND YOR, M. (2006). Asymptotic laws for regenerative compositions: gamma subordinators and the like. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **135**, 576–602.
- [14] GNEDIN, A., PITMAN, J., AND YOR, M. (2006). Asymptotic laws for compositions derived from transformed subordinators. *Ann. Probab.* **34**, 468–492.
- [15] GNEDIN, A. AND PITMAN, J. (2005). Regenerative composition structures. *Ann. Probab.* **33**, 445–479.
- [16] GNEDIN, A. AND YAKUBOVICH, Y. (2007). On the number of collisions in Λ -coalescents. *Electron. J. Probab.* **12**, 1547–1567.
- [17] GONCHAROV, V.L. (1962). On the field of combinatorial analysis. *Amer. Math. Soc. Translations*, series 2, **19**, 1–46.
- [18] IKSANOV, A., MARYNYCH, A., AND MÖHLE, M. (2007). On the number of collisions in beta(2,b)-coalescents, submitted.

- [19] IKSANOV, A. AND MÖHLE, M. (2008). On the number of jumps of random walks with a barrier. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **40**, 206–228.
- [20] IKSANOV, A. AND TERLETSKY, YU. (2008). On asymptotic behavior of certain recursions with random indices of linear growth, submitted.
- [21] PANHOLZER, A. (2004). Destruction of recursive trees, in: *Mathematics and Computer Science III*, Birkhäuser, Basel, pp. 267–280.
- [22] PITMAN, J. (1999). Coalescents with multiple collisions. *Ann. Probab.* **27**, 1870–1902.
- [23] PITMAN, J. (2006). *Combinatorial stochastic processes*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1875**, Springer.
- [24] ROSS, S.M. (1982). A simple heuristic approach to simplex efficiency. *Europ. J. Operat. Research* **9**, 344–346.
- [25] SAGITOV, S. (1999). The general coalescent with asynchronous mergers of ancestral lines. *J. Appl. Probab.* **36**, 1116–1125.